

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

-	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/720,407	11/24/2003	Fiorenzo Draghetti	20022/39780	4108	
	4743	7590 11/08/2005	·	EXAM	EXAMINER	
	MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP 233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300			BUI, LUAN KIM		
	SEARS TOW	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	CHICAGO, IL 60606			3728		

DATE MAILED: 11/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1000			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/720,407	DRAGHETTI ET AL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Luan K. Bui	3728				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varieties or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this com (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Se	eptember 2005.					
· ·	action is non-final.		·			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-16 and 22-26</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 and 17-21 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFF	? 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTC)-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of:	a la acce la companya de San di					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		an Na				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •		taaa			
application from the International Bureau	•	o in this National S	lage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	` ''	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/27/04</u> .	6) Other:	gpnoonor (i + O-1	· = 1			

Application/Control Number: 10/720,407

Art Unit: 3728

- 1. Claims 12-16 and 22-26 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 9/28/2005.
- 2. On page 3 of the specification, the phrases "as recited by claim 1" and "as recited by claim 22" should be deleted because those claims may not be existed during the prosecution of the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-9, 11 and 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ward (5,823,350) in view of Focke et al. (5,586,648; hereinafter Focke'648). Ward discloses a method to detecting shoplifting by placing an electronic article surveillance sensor within a package to prevent the package from removing from the store unless the package is first purchased (see background of the invention). Ward further discloses a package (20) comprising a container containing batteries (22) and the electronic article surveillance sensor/marker (52) disposed within the package. The electronic article surveillance sensor/marker comprises all the limitations of the claims (column 3, lines 55-67). However, Ward fails to show the package containing a group of tobacco articles. Focke'648 shows a package comprising a container (10)

Application/Control Number: 10/720,407

Art Unit: 3728

containing a group of tobacco articles such as cigarettes. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made in view of Focke'648 to modify the package of Ward so the package containing a group of tobacco articles such as cigarettes to detecting the cigarettes package from being shoplifting.

As to claims 2-3, Ward discloses the maker disposed on a bottom piece and the piece attached to an inside surface of the container (Figures 1-3).

As to claims 4-9, Focke'648 shows a sheet of foil wrapping material (11) enclosing the group of tobacco articles, the container comprises an open top end (12), a lid (13) and a collar (38). With respect to the locations for the marker being disposed within the container, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made in view of Ward as modified to attach the maker at any locations within the container such as the locations as claimed because the selection of the specific location to affix the marker would have been an obvious matter of design choice inasmuch as the resultant structures will work equally well.

As to claims 17-21, see the details of the marker (52) (column 3, line 55 to column 4, line 10).

5. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Boriani et al. (6,021,893; hereinafter Boriani'893. The package of Ward as modified further fails to show the group of tobacco articles being defined by a group of packets of cigarettes. Boriani'893 shows a package comprising a container (1) containing a group of packets of cigarettes. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of Boriani'893 to modify the package of Ward as

Application/Control Number: 10/720,407

Art Unit: 3728

modified so the group of tobacco articles comprises a group of packets of cigarettes to prevent the group of packets of cigarettes from being shoplifting.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luan K. Bui whose telephone number is (571) 272-4552. If in receiving this Office Action, it is apparent to Applicant that certain documents are missing from the record for example copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers should be directed to Ms. Errica Miller at (571) 272-4370.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Customer Service whose telephone number is (703) 306-5648. Facsimile correspondence for this application should be sent to (571) 273-8300 for Formal papers and After Final communications.

lkb

November 5, 2005

Luan K. Bui

Primary Examiner

Page 4